# IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

In re Application of: DANNENMAIER, Jürgen	Confirmation No. 2773
Serial No.: 10/708774	) Group Art Unit: 1723
Filed: 24-Mar-2004	) Examiner: MENON, Krishnan S.
<b>For</b> : Filter Device Having More than One Filtration Compartment	) ) )
Customer No: 24994	)
Mail Stop - Appeal Brief - Patents Commissioner for Patents PO Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450	

#### APPEAL BRIEF UNDER 37 C.F.R 41.37

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R §1.192, Appellants submit this Appeal Brief, in triplicate, to the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences, from the January 18, 2007 final Office Action. In light of the Notice of Appeal filed on March 13, 2007, this Appeal Brief is being timely filed along with payment of the Appeal Brief fee.

#### I. Real Party in Interest

The real party in interest is Gambro Lundia AB, the assignee of the entire right, title and interest in the application at issue.

#### II. Related Appeals and Interferences

There are currently no related appeals or interferences pending before the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences.

#### III. Status of Claims

Claims 1-43 are pending in the application. Claims 7-9, 13, 17, 18, 25-35, 37 and 40-43 have been withdrawn from consideration. Claims 1-6, 10-12, 14-16, 19-24, 36, 38 and 39 are rejected.

#### IV. Status of Amendments

All amendments have been entered.

#### V. Summary of Claimed Subject Matter

The present invention relates to a filter device for the filtration of fluids. In particular, one or more embodiments of the present invention relate to filter devices for use in dialysis-type treatments and for filtration processes similar to and related to the haemodialysis process, such as haemofiltration, haemodiafiltration and ultrafiltration, as well as to a method for making a housing of a filtration device. The subject matter related to the method of making has been withdrawn from consideration in this application and is not the subject of this appeal.

The filter device has at least two fluid filtration compartments are provided within a filter housing, the respective compartments being separated by an internal wall. A first surface of the filter membrane means is in communication with both an inlet and an outlet. The housing comprises at least two longitudinally extending shell portions, one of said longitudinally extending shell portions of the housing describing less than one half of the perimeter of said housing comprises continuous internal walls, which divide the filter into separate filtration compartments. No single compartment would thereby be delimited entirely by peripheral or external walls of the housing, each compartment being delimited at least partly by an internal wall. The respective portions of the housing are joined together along seam-type joints by any suitable means such as by bonding or welding, for example, using ultrasound or laser welding. In the case of laser-welding techniques, the two sections of the filter housing would benefit from particular light reflective properties in order to ensure an adequate weld. For example, one of the housing sections may be made from substantially clear, uncolored material, while the other may

contain a light-reflective dyc suitable for causing plastics material at the boundary of the two

housing portions to melt sufficiently to form a bond. In a possible embodiment of a laser-welded

housing, one housing portion may be made from polycarbonate while another section may be

made from polypropylene.

Assembly of the filter device may be achieved by combining the steps of filling the

respective filtration compartments as initially defined by the separate sections with hollow-fibers

and then assembling the housing. For example, hollow-fibers may be placed within the

respective filtration compartments defined by each respective single section of the housing. The

housing sections may then be brought together and fixed in position enclosing the respective

fiber bundles in their compartments. The housing which is here, by way of example, shown

tubular sections may thereby each include corresponding portions of internal walls, whereby the

respective edges of the various corresponding wall portions would then desirably be bonded to

one another as would the outer walls of the said sections. Bonding may be carried out by any

suitable method such as by using adhesive means or by welding as described more fully herein.

The structure that enables this assembly is the subject matter of this appeal. Claims to the

method of manufacture have been withdrawn from consideration. There are no "product by

process" claims pending in this case.

VI. Grounds of Rejection To Be Reviewed On Appeal

Claims 1, 4-6, 10-12 and 16 are rejected as anticipated by Gross et al. (US 5,882,516). In

the alternative, the Examiner considered claims 6 and 10-12 obvious over the same reference.

The Examiner also mentions, in this regard, claims 19-21 and 22-24. Claims 14, 15, 36, 38 and

39 are rejected under 35 USC § 103 over Gross in view of Pope et al. (US 2003/0102264).

VII. Argument

Gross shows a dialyzer having two modules or chambers, but as far as can be determined

from the disclosure the elongated tubular housing 12 is comprised of a single piece. A

separating wall 18 divides the housing into two modules. Significantly, the modules are

Appl. No. 10/708774 (Atty, Docket No. N0060-US02) Dated May 11, 2007 Appeal Brief

delimited by the separating wall and the outer wall (singular) of the housing. See column 2, lines 61-67. There is no suggestion that the housing (exclusive of the wall) is formed of two parts.

Claim 1 specifies that the housing comprises "at least two longitudinally extending shell portions, one of said longitudinally extending shell portions of the housing describing less than one half of the perimeter of said housing". This structure is not shown or suggested in Gross, even though the two modules are of different sizes. In Gross, the fiber filters would be inserted from an end of the tubular housing, not laid into one of the elongated longitudinally extending shell portions as would be possible in the claimed invention. The Examiner concedes that Gross does not expressly state the limitation. (Final Rejection, page 6, lines 3-4) The fact that a claimed structural feature will make it easier to manufacture a device is not proper grounds for rejection of the novel, unobvious structure. There is no such doctrine as a "reverse product-byprocess claim", wherein an otherwise patentable structure, not shown in the prior art, may be rejected because the structure provides manufacturing advantages. The examiner states that "limitations that would make the method of manufacture easier is not patentable in the product claim." For this proposition, the examiner relies on In re Thorpe 777 F.2d 695, 698, 227 USPQ 964, 966 (Fed. Cir. 1985). It is apparent from reading these claims that the process is not being claimed, nor is the product being claimed in terms of the process by which the product is made. Such claims are most frequently used in connection with chemical cases where the structure itself may not be known. In this case, the claims recite well-defined structural differences over the prior art. The principle advantage of this structure is found in connection with the manufacture of the product, but that fact does not convert the claim into a product-by-process claim. Indeed, although the assembly of the claimed invention may be easier than the prior art devices in that the fibers may be laid in one of the halves of the device, it is also more difficult in that an appropriate seal between the two parts must be achieved for fluid integrity. Thus one of ordinary skill in the art would not find it obvious that such a structure would be appropriate or operable.

Moreover, contrary to the examiner's assertion, claims 6, and 10-12 each recite further structural details not shown or suggested in Gross. Although these features may make the assembly of the dialyzer more effective than that of the prior art, they are nevertheless

patentable. The examiner has misapplied the rule of In Re Thorpe, 777 F.2d 696, 227 USPQ

964 (Fed. Cir. 1985). The structure defined in claims 1, 6, and 10 through 12 is different from

the prior art. The claims are not product-by-process claims. The examiner seems to be asserting

that there must be a claimed difference in the functioning of the dialyzers described in Gross and

in this application before a claim is allowable. Such a rule is not supported by the cited case or

by statue. There is no statutory basis for the rejection of these claims. The examiner has failed

to make a prima facie case for rejection of the claims.

The cited art does not show "internal wall [comprising] a first part and a second part, said

first part being attached to a first longitudinally extending shell portion and said second part

being attached to a second longitudinally extending shell portion, said first part having a free

edge and said second part having a free edge, said free edges being configured to join to each

other." (Claim 10)

Nor does Gross show or suggest that "each longitudinally extending shell portions has

two longitudinal edges, the longitudinal edges of adjacent shell portions being configured to join

to each other, and wherein the free edge of a wall part attached to a shell portion is not co-planar

with the longitudinal edges of said shell portion." (Claim 11.)

Finally, Gross does not teach or suggest that "a larger one of said longitudinally

extending shell portions of the housing describes more than one half of the perimeter of said

housing and wherein said free edge of said wall part attached to said larger shell portion extends

beyond a plane containing said longitudinal edges of said larger shell portion." (Claim 12)

The fact that a claimed structural feature will make it easier to manufacture a device is

not proper grounds for rejection of the novel, unobvious structure. There is no such doctrine as a

"reverse product-by-process claim", wherein an otherwise patentable structure, not shown in the

prior art, may be rejected because the structure provides manufacturing advantages. Each of

these claims should be allowed, and the examiner's reconsideration is respectfully solicited.

Claims 4, 5, 16, and 19-24 are allowable with their parent claims.

#### Response to Claims Rejections under 35 USC § 103

The examiner acknowledges that the limitations of claims 2 and 3 are not specifically taught by Gross. Moreover, it is impossible to derive these limitations by "optimization" because, as pointed out above in connection with claim 1, these limitations refer to the separate shell portions, not to the modules or chambers that hold the filters. In the preferred embodiment, for instance, (e.g., Fig. 4 or Fig. 5), each shell portion forms part of both modules or chambers. This is not an express requirement of these claims, but it demonstrates that the examiner's argument that the size of the filter would lead one to the claims by mere optimization is simply wrong. Clearly, under the structure claimed in claim 1, the chambers could be of different size, because of the placement of the wall, but the shell portions could be identical mirror images of each other. This would accommodate any size of filter. There would, therefore, be no motivation to "optimize" the shells based on the size of the filter. The structure of claims 2 and 3 may aide in placing both filters into a single shell before the second, smaller shell is sealed onto the larger shell. This structure, however, is not suggested nor optimized from the structure of Gross because the apparatus of Gross is assembled in a different way. Claims 2 and 3 are allowable over the art.

The examiner has rejected claims 14, 15, 36, 38 and 39 under 35 USC § 103 over Gross in view of Pope et al. (US 2003/0102264). Pope does disclose the use of polycarbonate and polypropylene in the end caps and the tubular housing of a dialyzer, but neither Pope nor Gross disclose or suggest the longitudinally extending shell portions claimed herein. Pope cannot, therefore teach that the two shell portions should have different optical properties or refractive indices. As pointed out above, "adhesive" is not a limitation of any of the claims, and the examiner erred in requiring that the Applicants elect a limitation to a species not in the claims. Consequently, as to these claims, the claims must be considered on their merits and not by the erroneous incorporation of an "adhesive" limitation not contained therein. Applicants respectfully request the examiner to cite authority for the proposition that a structural limitation with advantages in manufacturing is not patentable. Applicants further request the examiner's initial consideration of claims 25, 26, 40, and 41 (the species including laser welding), since

"adhesive" was not a limitation of the claims, and the examiner's request for a limitation to such a species was, therefore, improper. A proper election requirement to a claimed species should have been directed to either (1) ultrasonic welding or (2) laser welding. Claims 26 and 41 are specific to laser welding.

For at least the reasons given above, the Board of Patcnt Appeals and Interferences should reverse the claim rejections under 35 USC §102(e) and 103(a) and permit allowance of claims 1-6, 10-12, 14-16, 19-24, 36, 38 and 39.

Respectfully submitted

Date

John R. Merkling (Reg No:31716)

Gambro BCT, Inc.

10810 W. Collins Ave.

Lakewood, Colorado 80215 U.S.A.

Tel. (303) 239-2362

#### VIII. Claims Appendix

- 1. (Original) A filter device comprising a housing, said housing enclosing at least two fluid filtration compartments, each filtration compartment comprising at least one filter membrane having a first surface and a second surface, said first surface of each filter membrane being in fluid communication with at least one fluid flow port, said second surface being in fluid communication with at least one fluid flow port, adjacent filtration compartments being separated from each other by an internal wall, said housing comprising at least two longitudinally extending shell portions, one of said longitudinally extending shell portions of the housing describing less than one half of the perimeter of said housing.
- 2. (Original) The filter device of Claim 1 wherein the one of said longitudinally extending shell portions describes less than one third of the perimeter of said housing.
- 3. (Original) The filter device of Claim 2 wherein the one of said longitudinally extending shell portions describes less than one quarter of the perimeter of said housing.
- 4. (Original) The filter device of Claim 1 wherein said housing is tubular.
- 5. (Original) The filter device of Claim 4 wherein said perimeter of said housing is a circumference.
- 6. (Previously Amended) The filter device of claim 1 wherein said longitudinally extending shell portions are joined together along at least two longitudinal seams.
- 7. (Previously Withdrawn) The filter device of Claim 1, wherein at least one filtration compartment comprises at least two spaced apart generally planar walls and said walls are in a parallel relationship to each other.

Appl. No. 10/708774 (Atty. Docket No. N0060-US02) Dated May 11, 2007

Appeal Brief

8. (Previously Withdrawn) The filter device of Claim 7 wherein each filtration

compartment comprises at least two spaced apart generally parallel walls and said walls are in a

parallel relationship with each other.

9. (Previously Withdrawn) The filter device of Claim 8 wherein said filter device

comprises three filtration compartments.

10. (Original) The filter device of Claim 1, wherein said internal wall comprises a first part

and a second part, said first part being attached to a first longitudinally extending shell portion

and said second part being attached to a second longitudinally extending shell portion, said first

part having a free edge and said second part having a free edge, said free edges being configured

to join to each other.

11. (Original) The filter device of Claim 10, wherein each longitudinally extending shell

portions has two longitudinal edges, the longitudinal edges of adjacent shell portions being

configured to join to each other, and wherein the free edge of a wall part attached to a shell

portion is not co-planar with the longitudinal edges of said shell portion.

12. (Original) The filter device of claim 11 wherein a larger one of said longitudinally

extending shell portions of the housing describes more than one half of the perimeter of said

housing and wherein said free edge of said wall part attached to said larger shell portion extends

beyond a plane containing said longitudinal edges of said larger shell portion.

13. (Previously Withdrawn) The filter device of Claim 1 wherein one of said longitudinally

extending shell portions comprises a substantially clear, uncoloured material at at least a

boundary thereof and wherein another of said longitudinally extending shell portions comprises a

coloured material at at least a boundary of said another shell portion, whereby a laser or

electromagnetic radiation weld may be formed between the boundaries of said shell portions.

14. (Original) The filter device of Claim 1 wherein one of said longitudinally extending shell

portions has a first refractive index and another of said longitudinally extending shell portions

Appl. No. 10/708774 (Atty. Docket No. N0060-US02) Dated May 11, 2007

Appeal Brief

has a second refractive index, said first refractive index being different from said second

refractive index.

15. (Original) The filter device of Claim 14 wherein said one of said longitudinally

extending shell portions is comprised of polycarbonate and said another of said longitudinally

extending shell portions is comprised of polypropylene.

16. (Original) The filter device of Claim 1, comprising two filtration compartments arranged

within said housing and wherein one of said filtration compartments is suitable for carrying out

ultrafiltration of a first fluid while the other compartment is suitable for simultaneously carrying

out either haemofiltration or haemodialysis or haemodiafiltration of a second fluid.

17. (Previously Withdrawn) The filter device of claim 1, wherein said device comprises a

housing within which are arranged at least three filtration compartments and wherein at least one

of said filtration compartments is suitable for carrying out ultrafiltration of a first fluid while

each of the other compartments is suitable for simultaneously carrying out either haemofiltration

or haemodialysis or haemodiafiltration of a second fluid.

18. (Previously Withdrawn) The filter device of Claim 17, wherein said first surfaces of at

least two of said filter membranes in separate filtration compartments are in fluid flow

communication.

19. (Original) The filter device of Claim 1, wherein said second surfaces of said filter

membrane of one of said filtration compartments are in fluid communication with said first

surface of said filter membrane in another of said filtration compartments.

20. (Original) The filter device of Claim 19, wherein said first surface of said filter

membrane of one said filtration compartments is in fluid communication with said second

surfaces of said filter membrane in another of said filtration compartments via an external fluid

flow port.

Appl. No. 10/708774 (Atty. Docket No. N0060-US02) Dated May 11, 2007

Appeal Brief

21. (Original) The filter device of Claim 1, wherein said filter membrane comprises a bundle

of semi-permeable hollow-fibre membranes and wherein said first surface is comprised of the

interior surfaces of said hollow-fibres in said bundle and wherein said second surface is

comprised of the exterior surfaces of said hollow-fibres in said bundle.

22. (Original) The filter device of Claim 21, wherein said housing comprises two opposed

ends and a tubular external wall therebetween, said hollow-fibre membranes being arranged

within each of said filtration compartments along the longitudinal direction of said tubular

housing, wherein the ends of said hollow fibre membranes are secured by and embedded within a

potting compound.

23. (Original) The filter device of Claim 22, wherein an end-cap is fixed to each end of the

tubular housing and wherein a header chamber is provided between each said end-cap and the

ends of said hollow fibres.

24. (Original) The filter device of Claim 23, wherein said external wall of said tubular

housing is provided at its ends with engagement portions for positively engaging said potting

compound.

25. (Previously Withdrawn) The filter device of claim 1, wherein said longitudinally

extending shell portions are welded together.

26. (Previously Withdrawn) The filter device of claim 25, wherein said longitudinally

extending shell portions are laser welded.

27. (Previously Withdrawn) The filter device of claim 25, wherein said longitudinally

extending shell portions are ultrasound welded.

28. (Previously Withdrawn) A filter device comprising a housing, said housing enclosing at

least two fluid filtration compartments, each filtration compartment comprising at least one filter

membrane having a first surface and a second surface, said first surface of each filter membrane

being in fluid communication with at least one fluid flow port, said second surface being in fluid communication with at least one fluid flow port, adjacent filtration compartments being separated from each other by an internal wall, said housing comprising at least two longitudinally extending shell portions, wherein at least one filtration compartment comprises at least two spaced apart generally planar walls and said walls are in a parallel relationship to each other.

- 29. (Previously Withdrawn) The filter device of Claim 28 wherein each filtration compartment comprises at least two spaced apart generally parallel walls and said walls are in a parallel relationship with each other.
- 30. (Previously Withdrawn) The filter device of Claim 29 wherein said filter device comprises three filtration compartments.
- 31. (Previously Withdrawn) A method of making a filter device comprising the steps of forming a housing for enclosing at least two fluid filtration compartments, said housing comprising at least two longitudinally extending shell portions, one of said longitudinally extending shell portions of the housing describing less than one half of the perimeter of said housing, adjacent filtration compartments being separated from each other by an internal wall,

placing at least one filter membrane having a first surface and a second surface longitudinally in each filtration compartment;

closing said shell portions around said filter membranes to form said fluid filtration compartments;

sealing adjacent edges of said shell portions; imbedding ends of said filter membranes in a potting compound; and capping the ends of said housing.

32. (Previously Withdrawn) The method of Claim 31, further comprising providing at least two spaced apart generally planar walls in each fluid filtration compartment, said walls being in a parallel relationship to each other and packing a plurality of filter membranes between said walls.

## 33. (Previously Withdrawn) The method of Claim 32, further comprising

forming one of said longitudinally extending shell portions from a substantially clear, uncoloured material at at least a boundary thereof,

forming another of said longitudinally extending shell portions of a coloured material at at least a boundary of said another shell portion, and

wherein said step of sealing adjacent edges comprises differential heating of said colored material and said uncoloured material by laser or electromagnetic radiation.

#### 34. (Previously Withdrawn) The method of Claim 32, further comprising

forming one of said longitudinally extending shell portions from a first material having a first refractive index and

forming another of said longitudinally extending shell portions from a second material having a second refractive index, said first refractive index being different from said second refractive index, and

wherein said step of sealing adjacent edges comprises differential heating of said first material and said second material by laser or electromagnetic radiation.

### 35. (Previously Withdrawn) The method of Claim 34 comprising

forming said one of said longitudinally extending shell portions from polycarbonate, and forming said another of said longitudinally extending shell portions from polypropylene.

#### 36. A filter device comprising

and

a housing, said housing having

at least two longitudinally extending shell portions

one of said longitudinally extending shell portions having a first optical property

another of said shell portions having a second optical property, said first optical property being different from said second optical property,

at least one filter membrane disposed within said housing and extending longitudinally from a first end to a second end of said housing,

Appl. No. 10/708774 (Atty. Docket No. N0060-US02) Dated May 11, 2007 Appeal Brief

end caps closing said ends of said housing, and

at least one access port for introducing fluid into or out of said filter device.

37. (Previously Withdrawn) The filter device of claim 36 wherein said optical property is

colour.

38. (Original) The filter device of claim 36 wherein said optical property is a refractive

index.

39. (Original) The filter device of Claim 38 wherein said one of said longitudinally

extending shell portions is comprised of polycarbonate and said another of said longitudinally

extending shell portions is comprised of polypropylene.

40. (Previously Withdrawn) The filter device of claim 36, wherein said longitudinally

extending shell portions are welded together.

41. (Previously Withdrawn) The filter device of claim 40, wherein said longitudinally

extending shell portions are laser welded.

42. (Previously Withdrawn) The filter device of claim 40, wherein said longitudinally

extending shell portions are ultrasound welded.

43. (Previously Withdrawn) The filter device of claim 36 wherein said housing further

comprises an internal wall, said wall separating said housing into first and second compartments,

said wall having

a first wall portion extending from said one of said longitudinally extending shell

portions and having said first refractive index and

a second wall portion extending from said another of said longitudinally extending shell portions

and having said second refractive index, said first wall portion being welded to said second wall

portion.

Appl. No. 10/708774 (Atty. Docket No. N0060-US02) Dated May 11, 2007 Appeal Brief

## IX. Evidence Appendix

Not Applicable

Appl. No. 10/708774 (Atty. Docket No. N0060-US02) Dated May 11, 2007 Appeal Brief

# X. Related Proceedings Appendix

Not Applicable